

Cross River Rail Project

Monthly Environmental Report

August 2025

Table of contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
NON-COMPLIANCE EVENTS	8
DEFINITIONS	9
1. INTRODUCTION.....	10
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	10
1.2. PROJECT DELIVERY.....	10
1.3. REPORTING FRAMEWORK	12
1.4. MONTHLY ENVIRONMENT REPORT ENDORSEMENT	12
2. COMPLIANCE REVIEW.....	12
2.1. RELEVANT PROJECT WORKS	12
2.2. KEY ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS	14
2.2.1. Noise.....	14
2.2.2. Vibration	16
2.2.3. Air Quality.....	16
2.2.4. Water Quality	18
2.2.5. Erosion and Sediment Control	20
2.3. COMPLAINTS MANAGEMENT	20
2.4. NEW UPCOMING PROJECT WORKS.....	22
2.5. NON-COMPLIANCE EVENTS.....	24
APPENDIX A RIS MONTHLY REPORT.....	25
APPENDIX B TSD MONTHLY REPORT	26

Executive Summary

This Monthly Environmental Report (MER) has been produced for Project Works undertaken on site for July 2025 for the Rail, Integration and Systems (RIS), and Tunnel, Stations and Development (TSD) packages. The report addresses the obligations outlined in the Coordinator-General's change report – Coordinator-General's change report – no. 15 (March 2025) and the individual contractor's Construction Environmental Management Plans (CEMPs), which have been developed generally in accordance with the Project's Outline Environmental Management Plan (OEMP). The Cross River Rail Delivery Authority (Delivery Authority), as the Proponent of the Cross River Rail Project, is required to submit a monthly report to the Coordinator-General to demonstrate compliance with the imposed conditions.

Section 1 of this report provides a background to the project and the Coordinator-General's conditions. Section 2 provides a review of the contractor's reports contained in Appendix A (RIS Monthly Report) and Appendix B (TSD Monthly Report).

The Environmental Monitor (EM) has reviewed and endorsed this MER. This endorsement follows ongoing and new document reviews, and surveillance across the relevant project worksites.

The CEMPs prepared by both Unity Alliance (RIS Contractor) and CBGU JV on behalf of Pulse (TSD Contractor) for their Relevant Project Works were endorsed by the EM and submitted to the Coordinator-General in accordance with Condition 4(a) and 4(b) respectively.

The table below presents a summary of compliance status against each condition with a short comment for each:

Imposed Condition	Requirement Summary	Compliance Met (Yes/No/NA)	Comment
1.	General conditions – compliance with the Project Changes relevant to the contractor's scope	Yes	The CEMP and site management plans are in accordance with the Project Changes.
2.	Outline Environmental Management Plan – timely submission to the Coordinator-General including required sub-plans	Yes	OEMP dated June 2020 is effective for the reporting period.

Imposed Condition	Requirement Summary	Compliance Met (Yes/No/NA)	Comment
3.	Design – achievement of the Environmental Design Requirements	NA	Ongoing progress with design packages.
4.	Construction Environmental Management Plan – all relating to Relevant Project Works.	Yes	RIS – CEMP Revision 13 covering full scope of RIS works is effective from 14 March 2022. TSD – CEMP Revision 12 covering full scope of TSD works is effective from 6 June 2024.
5.	Compliance and Incident management – Non-compliance events, notifications and reporting.	Yes	No Non-Compliance Event (NCE) was reported in August 2025.
6.	Reporting – Monthly and Annual reporting.	Yes	This MER, including RIS and TSD Monthly Reports, has been submitted in accordance with the conditioned requirements. Refer to Appendix A and Appendix B .
7.	Environmental Monitor (EM) – engaged and functions resumed.	Yes	Ongoing weekly site inspections and document reviews continue to take place.
8.	Community Relations Monitor (CRM) – engaged and functions resumed.	Yes	Ongoing.
9.	Community Engagement Plan – developed and endorsed by Environmental Monitor.	Yes	CEMPs endorsed with Community Engagement Plan.
10.	Hours of work – Project Works undertaken during approved hours.	Yes	Project Works have been undertaken in accordance with project requirements. This has been achieved through Standard Working Hours, Extended work hours and Managed Work.
11.	Noise – Project Works must aim to achieve internal noise goals for human health and well-being.	Yes	Noise monitoring met project noise requirements at Sensitive Places. RIS – Noise monitoring was undertaken to monitor construction impacts at sensitive places. Noise monitoring confirmed project requirements were met. Refer to Appendix A (Section 2.1 and Table 1).

Imposed Condition	Requirement Summary	Compliance Met (Yes/No/NA)	Comment
			TSD – Noise monitoring was undertaken to monitor construction impacts at sensitive places. Noise monitoring confirmed project requirements were met. Refer to Appendix B (Section 3.2 and Table 3).
	Vibration – Project Works must aim to achieve vibration goals for cosmetic damage, human comfort and sensitive building contents.	Yes	Vibration monitoring met project requirements at Sensitive Places. RIS – No vibration monitoring was undertaken during August 2025. TSD – No vibration monitoring was undertaken during August 2025.
12.	Property damage – relating to ground movement.	Yes	RIS – Vibration modelling has been undertaken for Relevant Project Works, and Property Damage Sub-plans have been developed and implemented. Pre-condition surveys have been completed at heritage, commercial and residential buildings at RNA, Northern Corridor and Dutton Park to Salisbury stations. TSD – Vibration modelling has been prepared and is ongoing. Where required, building condition survey reports are completed for heritage and residential buildings.
13.	Air quality – Works must aim to achieve air quality goals for human health and nuisance.	Yes	Air quality monitoring met Project air quality requirements. RIS – Contractor confirmed they continued to meet the requirements under Condition 13 and the OEMP. Refer to Appendix A (Section 2.3 and Table 2, plus Figures 1, 2, and 3). TSD – Contractor confirmed they continued to meet the requirements under Condition 13 and the OEMP. Refer to Appendix B (Section 3.3 and Table 4 and 5).
14.	Traffic and transport – Works must minimise adverse impacts on road safety and traffic flow.	Yes	Traffic Management Plans are covered in the CEMPs. Sub-plans for all active worksites have been reviewed by the EM.

Imposed Condition	Requirement Summary	Compliance Met (Yes/No/NA)	Comment
15.	Water quality – Works must not discharge groundwater from the construction site above the relevant environmental values and water quality objectives. Monitor and report on water quality in accordance with CEMP and Sub-plans.	Yes	Monitoring and reporting on groundwater and surface water quality was undertaken in accordance with RIS and TSD Water Quality Management Plans. RIS – No groundwater discharges occurred during August 2025. Post-rainfall monitoring was not triggered during August 2025 TSD – Active discharge of groundwater occurred from Roma Street. Monitoring results of groundwater quality prior to discharge is consistent with the pre-construction water quality levels. Refer to Appendix B (Section 3.4.1 and Table 6) for groundwater monitoring results. Surface water discharges occurred at Northern Portal. The monitoring results demonstrated surface water discharges met project water quality discharge criteria. Refer to Appendix B (Section 3.4.2 and Table 7) for surface water monitoring results. Routine surface water monitoring occurred across TSD project sites. The TSD contractor confirmed the project outcomes were met. Refer to Appendix B (Section 3.5 and Table 8) for further details.
16.	Water resources – Evaluate potential impact, plan works, implement controls and monitor inflow of groundwater associated with drawdown.	Yes	RIS – There is no sustained groundwater extraction involved in the RIS scope of works so predictive modelling of groundwater drawdown is not required. Collection of hydrological data to model potential inflow rates into excavations during construction has been undertaken. TSD – Inflow of groundwater into the worksites is being continuously monitored to validate the predictive modelling.

Imposed Condition	Requirement Summary	Compliance Met (Yes/No/NA)	Comment
17.	Surface water – Must be designed to avoid inundation from stormwater due to a 2-year (6hr) ARI rainfall event and flood waters due to a 5-year ARI rainfall event and constructed to avoid afflux or cause the redirection of uncontrolled surface water flows, including stormwater flows, outside of worksites.	Yes	Contractors continue to consider this condition in their site planning and design.
18.	Erosion and sediment control – Provisions for erosion and sediment control must be consistent with the Guidelines for Best Practice Erosion and Sediment Control (International Erosion Control Association, 2008) and the Department of Transport and Main Roads' Technical Standard MRTS52.	Yes	Site specific ESC plans for all active work sites have been reviewed by the EM and implemented on site.
19.	Acid sulfate soils – managed as per the Queensland Acid Sulfate Soil Technical Manual.	Yes	Acid Sulfate Soil Management Plans have been prepared and implemented for all active worksites.
20.	Landscape and open space – general requirement to minimise impacts on landscapes and open space values and specific requirements around Victoria Park.	Yes	The construction of a temporary access road through Victoria Park was undertaken under a Heritage Exemption Certificate approved by the Department of the Environment, Tourism, Science and Innovation (DETSI) on 24 July 2021. Consideration has been taken to minimise loss of trees and the area of park impacted during these temporary works. Replanting works have occurred and the area returned to BCC.
21.	Worksite rehabilitation – worksites rehabilitated as soon as practicable upon completion of works or commissioning, and in consultation with Brisbane City Council.	N/A	N/A

Non-Compliance Events

There were no NCEs recorded in August 2025.

This document is the property of the Cross River Rail Delivery Authority. It may not be copied or reproduced without permission.

Definitions

Acronym	Definition
ARI	Average Recurrence Interval – The average or expected value of the periods between exceedances of a given rainfall total accumulated over a given duration.
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
CGCR	Coordinator-General's Change Report
CRM	The Community Relations Monitor engaged in accordance with Imposed Condition 8
Contractor	The contractors appointed to design, construct, and commission the Project
Coordinator-General	The corporation sole preserved, continued, and constituted under section 8 of the SDPWO Act.
CRR	Cross River Rail
DAP	Directly Affected Persons
DETSI	Department of Environment, Tourism, Science and Innovation
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EM	The Environmental Monitor engaged in accordance with Imposed Condition 7
ESC	Erosion and sediment control
IECA	International Erosion Control Association
Imposed condition/s	A condition/s imposed by the Coordinator-General under section 54B of the SDPWO Act for the Project
MER	Monthly Environment Report
MRTS52	Transport and Main Roads Specifications MRTS52 Erosion and Sediment Control
NCE	Non-Compliance Event
OEMP	Outline Environmental Management Plan
Project	The Cross River Rail Project
Project Works	As defined in the Imposed Conditions
Proponent	The Cross River Rail Delivery Authority
RfPC	Request for Project Change
RIS	Rail, Integration and Systems
SDPWO Act	<i>State Development and Public Works Organisation Act 1971</i>
Sub-plan	Any sub-plan of the CEMP
The Delivery Authority	The Cross River Rail Delivery Authority
TSD	Tunnel, Stations and Development

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

The Cross River Rail Project (the Project) is a declared coordinated project under the State Development and Public Works Organisation Act 1971 (SDPWO Act). The CRR Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was evaluated by the coordinator-General who recommended the Project proceed, subject to Imposed Conditions and recommendations. Since the evaluation of the EIS, several Requests for Project Change (RfPC) submissions have been evaluated by the coordinator-General. RfPC 15 was endorsed on 07 March 2025 by the coordinator-General.

The coordinator-General has imposed conditions on the Project that apply throughout the design, construction, and commissioning phases. These are referred to as the Imposed Conditions. In addition, the coordinator-General has approved the Project's OEMP which outlines the environmental management framework for the Project. The OEMP includes environmental outcomes and performance criteria which must be achieved for the Project.

Imposed Conditions 5 and 6 nominate the compliance and reporting requirements for the Project. This monthly report addresses these requirements.

1.2. Project Delivery

The Delivery Authority is responsible for planning and delivering the Project. The Project established environmental management plans and secured some of the secondary environmental approvals in addition to enabling works.


The two main delivery packages which require reporting under the coordinator-General's imposed conditions are:

- Tunnel, Stations and Development (TSD) being delivered by CBGU JV; and
- Rail, Integration and Systems (RIS) being delivered by Unity Alliance.

The Project is geographically divided into four areas:

- Mayne Area;
- Northern Area;
- Central Area; and
- Southern Area.

These areas and delivery packages are shown in the figure below.



This document is the property of the Cross River Rail Delivery Authority. It may not be copied or reproduced without permission.

1.3. Reporting Framework

This MER has been prepared to comply with Imposed Conditions 6 and 7 of the Coordinator-General Change Report (CGCR) and includes:

- monitoring data and associated interpretation of the results required by the imposed conditions and Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP);
- details of any NCEs, including incidents, corrective actions, and preventative actions; and
- details of any complaints, including description, responses, and corrective actions.

Reporting on environmental elements captured in each monthly environmental report, including the annual environmental report, is reviewed and endorsed by the EM

1.4. Monthly Environment Report Endorsement

This MER has been endorsed by the EM and the endorsement provided to the Coordinator-General.

2. Compliance Review

This MER has been reviewed and endorsed by the EM as per Imposed Condition 7 of the CGCR.

2.1. Relevant Project Works

The following Project Works were undertaken in August 2025:

Area	Project Works
Mayne Area	Mayne Yard North – • Practical Completion (PC) Part 2 submitted. Mayne Yard East – • Footpath installation and track scissor turnout completed; • Signal and OHLE foundations ongoing; • Tier 1 Stabling Yard Fence continuing; • Drainage and Combined Services Route (CSR) ongoing in Mayne East entry roads and Mayne neck; and • Low voltage (LV), comms cabling terminations and testing ongoing.
Northern Area	RNA / Exhibition – • Final defect close out ongoing. Northern Corridor – • Northern Portal Enhanced Radio System (ERS) remains waiting handover from TSD to complete testing and commissioning (T&C); • Existing route investigations and removal of redundant cabling ongoing;

Area	Project Works
	- Corridor drainage and Rail Maintenance Access Road (RMAR) completions ongoing; and - Final defect close out ongoing.
Central Area	Roma Street – - Pocket Park – service identification completed and excavation ready to commence; - All skylights glazing installed and platform 2 slab poured; - Canopy roofing eaves cladding almost completed and glazing ongoing; - Scaffold removal and construction of ticket office ongoing; - Lift 3 and 4 installation nearing completion and Lift 1 and 2 fitout underway; - Balustrade glazing commenced for escalators 1A to 4A fitout; and - In the cavern – progressive front of house services fitout continues, platform screed and tiling completed. Albert Street – - Lot 1 – Finalised internal Jump Form cycle pours above ground level and commenced demobilising the jump form, mechanical and electrical (M&E) fitout ongoing throughout, fan installation on B7 ongoing and Energex room high level services installation completed; - Lot 2 – final fitout on all escalators ongoing, hearing aid loop conduits installation continues in B4 level and B4 balustrade install ongoing; - Lot 3 – glazing on level 0 to level B4 nearing completion, escalator levelling complete with stair tread install ongoing and external cladding ongoing; and - Green Spine – removal of existing tiles and installation of new tiles ongoing. Woolloongabba – - Canopy façade glazing, East West Road works, ticket office build ongoing; - Baffle ceiling at B6 ongoing; - Water feature installation continues; - Escalator fitout progressing; and - Tree planting completed. Tunnel fitout – - M&E fitout works in the tunnel practically completed. Boggo Road – - Concrete and reinforcement to in situ structure nearing completion; - Continuing above ground structure construction; - Platform screen doors commissioning and platform tiling ongoing; - BoH M&E fitout ongoing; and - Canopy roof sheeting installation 40% complete as canopy installation continues across multiple stages. Southern Portal – - Yamma Bridge – repainting completed and western lift fitout continuing; - Removal of temporary propping towers completed; and - Closure of temporary access dive complete. Backfilling commenced.
Southern Area	Southern / Dutton Park – Progressed with general corridor completion works such as corridor

Area	Project Works
	drainage, civils and completions; - Form, Reinforcement, Pour (FRP) works of retaining wall along Kent Street continues along with fibre terminations and testing; and - Design work completed for Kent St. Yeerongpilly station – - PC Part 2 submitted. Clapham Yard – - Stage 2 cutover works and Phase 2 fencing scope balance ongoing; - Drainage, earthworks, hydraulics scope, and CSR works ongoing; - Viaduct temporary sheet piles complete whilst piling commenced; and - Crew Maintenance Facility building FRP and structural steel works. Moorooka station – - In-ground services and retaining wall works ongoing; - Platform 3 piling works completed; - Procurement of key packages underway; and - Temporary Ipswich Road traffic signal design underway. Rocklea station – - PC Part 2 submitted; and - Sewer pump panel commissioning complete. Salisbury – - Platform 1 and 2 building fitout ongoing; - Platform 3 platform slabs ongoing; - Overpass fitout and station precinct works ongoing; - Lift construction ongoing; and - Installation of station distribution board commenced.

2.2. Key Environmental Elements

2.2.1. Noise

The Coordinator-General's conditions establish a framework for managing the impacts of noise. The Imposed Conditions do not establish noise limits. Compliance with the Imposed Conditions noise requirements involve demonstrating the implementation of the endorsed CEMP and associated Noise and Vibration Management Plan. This establishes the management measures to be applied which aims to achieve the identified noise goals as far as reasonably practicable. The CEMP also includes requirements for the provision of the required community notifications of upcoming work, potential impacts, and how the project team can be contacted in relation to any potential impacts.

For Project Works where potential noise impacts are modelled to be above the noise goal but below the noise goal plus 20dBA, this work is authorised where the endorsed CEMP and

associated Noise and Vibration Management Plan is being implemented, including communicating construction activities to potential and actual Directly Affected Persons (DAPs). For Project Works where potential noise impacts are predicted to be more than 20dBA above the relevant noise goal, specific engagement is required with DAPs for these works.


Where internal monitoring was not possible, contractors have undertaken external monitoring at nominated locations. To assess external monitoring and determine compliance with the project's noise requirements, the project applies recommended façade attenuation corrections, which considers receiver property type.

In the Mayne Area, noise monitoring was undertaken to monitor construction impacts at sensitive places for SCAS track works at Mayne Yard. The RIS contractor reported that the project noise requirements have been met during this reporting month. Monitoring results for the Mayne Area are detailed in **Appendix A** (Section 2.1 and Table 1).

In the Central Area, noise monitoring was undertaken to monitor for construction monitoring at sensitive places for demolition and concrete works at Albert Street. The TSD contractor reported that the project noise requirements have been met during this reporting month. Monitoring results for the Central Area are detailed in **Appendix B** (Section 3.2 and Table 3).

In the Southern Area, noise monitoring was undertaken to monitor construction impacts at sensitive places for drainage works at Fairfield station, concrete works and fence installation at Dutton Park, station civil works at Yeronga, SCAS civil and track works at Clapham Yard and Salisbury. The RIS contractor reported that the project noise requirements have been met during this reporting month. Monitoring results for the Southern Area are detailed in **Appendix A** (Section 2.1 and Table 1).

For areas where monitoring was not completed, including the Out of Hours works, potential noise levels have been previously assessed and monitored for similar activities. Subsequently these works and their potential noise levels were deemed consistent with previous monitoring undertaken for the project across the relevant locations. A summary of noise monitoring events for the month is provided in the chart below:



2.2.2. Vibration

For all Areas, no vibration monitoring was undertaken during the period, and no complaint-based vibration monitoring was triggered.

2.2.3. Air Quality

2.2.3.1. Dust Deposition

Dust deposition monitoring was conducted at Mayne, Northern, Central and Southern Areas. Results met the project air quality goal¹ for all active worksites. See **Appendix A** (Section 2.3.1, Table 2 and Figure 1) and **Appendix B** (Section 3.3.1 and Table 4).

A summary of the dust deposition results for the reporting period are in the table below:

Air Quality – Dust Deposition Monitoring			
Area	Worksite	Monitoring Location	Comments
Mayne Area	Mayne Yard	Mayne Yard North	- Results met air quality goal.
Northern Area	RNA / Exhibition	RNA Showgrounds	- No sample available for collection. sampling apparatus was found tampered with during Royal Queensland Show.

	Northern Portal	Northern Portal (near Brisbane Girls Grammar School)	- Results met air quality goal.
Central Area	Albert Street	Mary Street	- Results met air quality goal.
		Elizabeth Street	- Results met air quality goal.
	Boggo Road	Quarry Street (north of the site)	- Results met air quality goal.
		Peter Doherty Street/Leukaemia Foundation	- Results met air quality goal.
	Southern Portal	PA Hospital - Central Energy Unit along Kent Street	- Results met air quality goal.
	Roma Street	Roma Street station	- Results met air quality goal.
	Woolloongabba	Russian Orthodox Cathedral	- Results met air quality goal.
		Woolloongabba Busway	- Results met air quality goal.
Southern Area	Dutton Park	Kent Street	- Results met air quality goal.
	Yeerongpilly	Yeerongpilly	- Results met air quality goal.
	Clapham Yard	Clapham Yard	- Results met air quality goal.
	Salisbury	Salisbury station car park	- Results met air quality goal.
		Salisbury Fairlie Terrace	- Results met air quality goal.

¹CG air quality goal for dust deposition - 120µg/m² (over an averaging period of 30 days).

2.2.3.2. Particulate Matter and Total Suspended Particulates

Monitoring for particulate matter (PM₁₀) and total suspended particulates (TSP) was conducted at Mayne, Central and Southern Area worksites during the reporting period. Both contractors confirmed their results obtained throughout the month met the project goals at all active worksites.

In the Central Area, the replaced battery improved the intermittent technical difficulties experienced in June and July 2025 at Albert Street, however, the air quality monitor experienced technical difficulties on 2 and 21-22 August 2025. As soon as practicable, the unit was inspected, and the issue was resolved. The TSD Contractor will continue to monitor the new battery's performance. The nearby DETSI (Brisbane CBD and South Brisbane) air quality monitoring stations confirmed air quality levels were below the air quality goals during the outage periods. Refer to **Appendix B** (Section 3.3.2, Table 5 and Figure 1 and 2).

A summary of particulate monitoring is provided in the table below:

Air Quality – PM ₁₀ / TSP Monitoring			
Area	Worksite	Monitoring Location	Comments
Mayne Area	Mayne Yard	Mayne Yard East	- Results met air quality goals.
Central Area	Albert Street	iStay River City and Capri (Corner of Mary Street and Albert Street)	- Results met air quality goals. - Technical difficulties experienced on 2 and 21-22 August 2025.
	Boggo Road / Southern Portal	North-East of Boggo Road worksite	- Results met air quality goals.
	Woolloongabba	Place Park, Woolloongabba	- Results met air quality goals.
Southern Area	Clapham Yard	Clapham Yard	- Results met air quality goals.

2.2.4. Water Quality

Water quality monitoring and reporting was undertaken in accordance with the contractors CEMP and Water Quality Management Plans.

2.2.4.1. Surface Water

During August 2025, active surface water discharges occurred in the Northern Area and no post-rainfall water quality monitoring was triggered for any of the Areas.

In the Northern Area, active surface water discharge monitoring occurred on fourteen (14) occasions at Northern Portal. Thirteen (13) monitoring results for the previous reporting period were included in this month's report for Northern Portal. The monitoring results demonstrated active surface water discharges met project water quality discharge criteria. Refer to **Appendix B** (Section 3.4.2 and Table 7) for further details.

Routine surface water monitoring was undertaken across the TSD worksites during the reporting period. Results from the locations reflect the condition of the broader catchment upstream from the worksites. Refer to **Appendix B** (Section 3.5 and Table 8) for further details.

Surface water quality monitoring is summarised in the table below:

Surface Water Quality Monitoring					
Area	Worksite	Discharge	Post-Rain Monitoring	Routine Monitoring	Comments
Mayne Area	Mayne Yard North	No	No	No	- ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
Northern Area	Northern Portal	Yes	No	Yes	- Active surface water discharge met water quality investigation criteria. - Routine in-stream monitoring undertaken in accordance with WQMP. - ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
	Northern Corridor	No	No	No	- ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
Central Area	Albert Street	No	No	Yes	- Routine in-stream monitoring undertaken in accordance with WQMP. - ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
	Boggo Road	No	No	Yes	- Routine in-stream monitoring undertaken in accordance with WQMP. - ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
	Roma Street	No	No	Yes	- Routine in-stream monitoring undertaken in accordance with WQMP. - ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
	Woolloongabba	No	No	Yes	- Routine in-stream monitoring undertaken in accordance with WQMP. - ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
	Southern Portal	No	No	No	- ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
Southern Area	Dutton Park	No	No	No	- ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
	Clapham Yard	No	No	No	- ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.
	Salisbury	No	No	No	- ESC was implemented in accordance with site specific ESC Plan.

2.2.4.2. Groundwater

Groundwater discharge occurred at Roma Street. The groundwater discharge results exceeded relevant water quality objectives (WQOs)² for several water quality parameters. However, these results are consistent with the receiving environment baseline monitoring pre-construction data. The contractor confirmed no changes have occurred onsite to the construction methodologies

that would have affected the groundwater results. Water treatment plant results will be reported quarterly. Refer to **Appendix B** (Section 3.4.1 and Table 6) for further details.

There were no groundwater discharges at Mayne, Northern or Southern Area worksites. Groundwater quality monitoring is summarised in the table below:

Groundwater Quality Monitoring			
Area	Worksite	Discharge	Comments
Mayne Area	Mayne Yard North	No	- No groundwater discharges.
Northern Area	RNA / Exhibition	No	- No groundwater discharges.
	Northern Portal	No	- No groundwater discharges.
Central Area	Albert Street	No	- No groundwater discharges.
	Boggo Road / Southern Portal	No	- No groundwater discharges.
	Roma Street	Yes	- Discharge of groundwater met Project requirements.
	Woolloongabba	No	- No groundwater discharges.
Southern Area	Clapham Yard	No	- No groundwater discharges.

¹ The Brisbane River Estuary environmental values and water quality objectives (Basin no 143 – mid-estuary) in the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009

2.2.5. Erosion and Sediment Control

Site specific Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plans have been prepared, updated, and implemented at Mayne Yard, Normanby, Northern Portal, Roma Street, Albert Street, Woolloongabba, Boggo Road, Southern Portal, Southern, Dutton Park, Clapham Yard and Salisbury worksites.


2.3. Complaints Management

A total of twenty-five (25) complaints were received during the month, of which twenty-two (22) were related to Project Works.

RIS works received two (2) complaints in August 2025, relating to workforce behaviour and parking at Salisbury and for dust at Clapham Yard. For further details and a breakdown of complaints, refer to **Appendix A** (Section 4 and Table 4).

TSD works received twenty-three (23) complaints in August 2025, of which twenty (20) were related to Project Works. These included noise, traffic management, workforce behaviour and property damage (damaged clothing) at Albert Street, traffic management at the Boggo Road precinct and noise and traffic management at Roma Street. The majority of complaints were attributed to night-time green spine works at Albert Street. For further details and a breakdown of complaints, refer to **Appendix B** (Section 5 and Table 10).


The Project Works related complaints summary for the month is provided in the chart below:



When attended noise monitoring was undertaken in response to a complaint, the contractor confirmed on all occasions that works undertaken at the time of the complaint adhered to project requirements. In all instances this month, previously attended noise monitoring data, representative of the relevant construction activities was used to confirm the works adhered to the project noise requirements.

To close out a complaint, the monitoring data is reviewed (where applicable) against compliance with the CEMP, site environmental management plans and permits, and checks that required community notification has taken place. Contractors have also confirmed that planned mitigation to reduce the impact was implemented. These controls were reviewed collectively together to verify if project requirements have been met.

For scheduled out-of-hours works, community notification was provided, as well as regular project updates. Stakeholder engagement undertaken on the project during the month is in the chart below.



2.4. New Upcoming Project Works

The key new planned Project Works for the coming months include:

Area	New planned works in the coming months
Mayne Area	Mayne Yard North – - Nil. Mayne Yard East / West – - Ongoing earthworks, Combined Services Route (CSR), drainage, capping, fencing, and Rail Systems works in Mayne East entry roads; - Tamping of track scissor and foundation works for OHLE; - Low Voltage (LV) and comms cabling to continue; - Fibre terminations and testing to continue; - Rail track construction including formation works, ballast placement, sleeper and rail construction, resurfacing and welding works; and - Rail bridge works over Breakfast Creek.
Northern Area	RNA / Exhibition – - RNA Show Ring 2 improvement works; and - Prepare final submission of as-constructed works. Northern Corridor – - Continue prep works for upcoming SCAS in September 2025; - Continue corridor drainage and RMAR works; - Fibre terminations and testing to continue; and - Normanby Digital Radio System (DRS) – final integration with QR once Sequence completes their testing and handback to Unity.

Area	New planned works in the coming months
Central Area	Roma Street – • Pocket Park works; • Continue services installation and fitout in mezzanine; • Continue canopy and lift works; • Complete installation of Tunnel Ventilation System (TVS) exhaust fans and attenuators; and • Continue escalator glazing. Albert Street – • Lot 1 – structural steel installation for the roof and ventilation shaft, continue blockworks, continue roof and flood barrier walls FRP; • Lot 2 – Continue M&E installation, finalise terrazzo tiling and escalator fitout; • Lot 3 – Continue station fitout, complete glazing L0 to B4 and continue external cladding installation; and • Green Spine – removing and replacing existing street furniture, underground services, demolishing and pavement works and installing lighting, traffic signals. Woolloongabba – • Continue glazing, cladding, stairs, lifts and complete escalator fitoff; • Gibbon and Leopard Street sewer works planned to commence; • Continue canopy and water feature works; • LV switch rooms ready for T&C; and • Ongoing earthworks, pavement and M&E fitout works. Boggo Road – • Continue terrazzo tiling and electric cable pulling; • Ongoing perimeter / internal wall, stair and slab pours, and M&E fitout works; • Continue canopy works and complete GFRC external installation; • Complete lift fitout; and • Continue electrical terminations and continue TVS installation. Southern Portal – • Bridge works continue with canopy and screen installation; • Continue lift fitout and backfilling of decline access; and • Caisson pumping station works ongoing.
Southern Area	Southern / Dutton Park – • Vegetation removal; • Continue works at Kent Street (building foundations, drainage, footpaths, kerb and channel and road resurfacing); and • Continue corridor drainage, civils, OHLE works, cable routes and drainage during available SCAS including limited scope during normal weekdays where possible. Yeerongpilly Station – • Prepare final submission of as-constructed works. Moorooka –

Area	New planned works in the coming months
	- In-ground services and retaining wall works continue; - Design development ongoing; - Procurement of key packages ongoing; and - Traffic Management planning ongoing. Clapham Yard – - SVR drainage, earthworks, hydraulics and Crew Maintenance Facility works continue; - Continue with procurement and early award for phase 3 works; and - Continue viaduct piling and commence bridge 93 piling. Rocklea Station – - Prepare final submission of as-constructed works. Salisbury – - Continue Platform 1 and 2 building fitout and platform 3 FRP; - Continue lift construction and fitout; - Continue Lilian Ave entry works; and - Commence electrical fitoff and enable permanent power via Energex connection.

2.5. Non-Compliance Events

No NCEs were recorded August 2025. A summary of NCEs to date is shown in the table below.

Status	Date of Event	Category	Area as on the Report	Relevant Condition	Gate 1	Gate 2	Gate 3	Gate 4
Open								
Closed								
CRRDA-001-RIS-001	9/11/19	Noise	Yeronga Station	4, 10, 11	10/11/19	14/11/19	26/11/19	18/12/19
CRRDA-002-TSD-001	27/03/20	ESC	Woolloongabba	4, 15, 18	30/03/20	31/03/20	22/04/20	11/06/20
CRRDA-003-TSD-002	27/03/20	ESC	Boggo Rd	4, 15, 18	30/03/20	31/03/20	22/04/20	11/06/20
CRRDA-004-TSD-003	28/03/20	Traffic	Boggo Rd	4, 10, 14	30/03/20	31/03/20	22/04/20	11/06/20
CRRDA-005-TSD-004	27/03/20	Reporting	Multiple sites	4, 6, 11, 13	30/03/20	31/03/20	22/04/20	11/06/20
CRRDA-006-TSD-005	27/03/20	Air Quality	Multiple sites	13	30/03/20	31/03/20	22/04/20	11/06/20
CRRDA-009-RIS-003	6/05/22	ESC	Clapham Yard	4	28/10/22	28/10/22	12/12/22	12/12/22
CRRDA-010-RIS-004	10/05/22	Acid Sulphate Soils Management	Clapham Yard	4, 19	28/10/22	28/10/22	12/12/22	12/12/22
CRRDA-11-RIS-005	23/11/22	Out Of Hours Works	Fairfield Station	4,10	14/07/23	14/07/23	14/07/23	12/09/23
CRRDA-12-RIS-006	19/04/24	Construction Traffic Management	Salisbury	4,7,14	04/07/24	04/07/24	04/07/24	11/09/24
Withdrawn								
CRRDA-007-RIS-002	1/04/20	Air Quality	Multiple sites	13	28/04/20	30/04/20	Withdrawn	
CRRDA-008-TSD-006	8/04/20	Working Hours	Roma Street	4,10	28/04/20	30/04/20	Withdrawn	

Gate 1 - EM notification to contractor. NCE confirmed

Gate 2 - 48 hour NCE notification submitted to CG

Gate 3 - 14 day report submitted

Gate 4 - 14 day report uploaded to CRR website

Appendix A RIS Monthly Report

This document is the property of the Cross River Rail Delivery Authority. It may not be copied or reproduced without permission.



COORDINATOR-GENERAL'S MONTHLY REPORT: August 2025

Prepared in accordance with Coordinator-General Imposed Condition 6 - Reporting.

Project number:	Q01080
Document number:	RIS-UNA-ENV-MRP-06610-046
Revision date:	29/09/2025
Revision number:	01

1 Monthly Monitoring Summary

It is UNITY's intent to aim for the Goals and Objectives relevant to vibration, noise, air quality and water monitoring within the practical extent of delivering the Project.

Vibration monitoring was not triggered during August 2025. Noise monitoring was undertaken on nine (9) occasions in August 2025. Each monitoring event that was undertaken confirmed works adhered to Project requirements.

Ambient air quality monitoring was conducted at the Mayne and Clapham Yards during August 2025. Air quality monitoring confirmed works adhered to Project requirements.

Water quality monitoring was not triggered during the reporting period.

2 Environmental Monitoring Results

Monitoring data is provided below in accordance with Imposed Condition 6(b)(i).

2.1 Noise Monitoring

Noise requirements (levels) are defined as goals within Imposed Condition 11. The goals are to be aimed for.

The Coordinator-General's Change Report acknowledges instances where these goals may not be achieved. Noise monitoring was conducted on nine (9) occasions during August 2025. All noise monitoring adhered to project requirements and monitoring results are detailed in the table below.

Table 1: Noise Monitoring Data

No.	Date/ Time	Location (Street Name) (Construction area)	Purpose of Monitoring	Internal or External Monitoring ^[3]	Activity	Dominant Noise Source	Noise Goal LA10 ^[1]	Noise level LA10	Noise Goal LAeq ^[2]	Noise level LAeq	Adhered to Project Requirements (Yes / No)
1.	01/08/2025 10:30	Cornwall Street, (Dutton Park)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Concrete Works	Non-Project Related Traffic Noise	65	63.4	55	60.2	Yes
2.	21/08/2025 14:57	Olivia Avenue, (Salisbury)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Station Civil Works	Construction Noise	60	56.8	50	53.7	Yes
3.	23/08/2025 09:01	Shottery Street (Yeronga)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Station Civil Works	Non-Project Related Traffic Noise	60	75.5	50	72.2	Yes
4.	23/08/2025 11:16	Mildmay Street, (Fairfield)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Drainage Works	Non-Project Related Traffic Noise	60	60.0	50	58.5	Yes
5.	23/08/2025 11:53	Olivia Avenue, (Salisbury)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Station Civil Works	Construction Noise	60	50.3	50	49.4	Yes
6.	28/08/2025 09:39	Annerley Road, (Dutton Park)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Fence Installation	Non-Project Related Background Noise	60	73.3	50	71.2	Yes
7.	29/08/2025 10:17	Evesham Street, (Clapham Yard)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	SCAS Civil and Track Works	Construction Noise	65	58.0	55	55.2	Yes
8.	30/08/2025 10:33	Lillian Avenue, (Salisbury)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	SCAS Civil and Track Works	Non-Project Related Background and Construction Noise	60	79.6	50	74.1	Yes
9.	31/08/2025 12:16	Olivia Avenue, (Salisbury)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Station Civil Works	Construction Noise	52	52.8	45	49.7	Yes

2.2 Vibration Monitoring

Vibration requirements (levels) are defined as goals within Imposed Condition 11. The goals are to be aimed for. The Coordinator-General's Change Report acknowledges instances where these goals may not be achieved. Vibration monitoring was not triggered during the reporting period.

2.3 Air Quality

Air quality requirements (levels) are defined as goals within Imposed Condition 13. The goals are to be aimed for. The Coordinator-General's Change Report acknowledges instances that exist when these goals may not be achieved.

Visual monitoring was undertaken during routine environmental inspections. A total of 22 inspections were undertaken by the Environment Team throughout the reporting period across Mayne Yard, Northern Corridor, Southern Area, Clapham Yard, Dutton Park and Salisbury stations.

UNITY undertakes air quality monitoring using dust deposition gauges (DDGs), Total Suspended Particles (TSP) and particulate matter less than 10µm (PM10). TSP and PM10 are monitored using portable air quality units.

Air quality monitoring results are provided below.

2.3.1 Deposited Dust Results

Passive dust deposition gauge (DDG) samples are analysed monthly.

The dust deposition gauge results (and total rainfall) for the reporting period are detailed below. All monitoring data adhered to Project requirements.

Table 2: Air Quality Monitoring - Deposited Dust Data

CGCR Goal (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-01 - RNA Showground (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-04 Grafton Street (Mayne Yard) (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-06— Clapham Yard (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-09 Yeerongpilly Station (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-10 Northern Portal (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-11 Dutton Park (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-12 Salisbury, Lillian Avenue (mg/m ² /day)	AQ-13 Salisbury, Fairlie Terrace (mg/m ² /day)
120	[1]	13	43	23	17	23	73	27
Total Rainfall (mm)	19.3	15.7	40.9	40.9	19.3	19.0	31.4	31.4

[1]: No sample available for collection. The DDG sampling apparatus was found tampered with and damaged during non-project works related to The Royal Queensland Show (EKKA) event.




Figure 1: Air Quality Monitoring - Deposited Dust Data

2.3.2 Ambient Air Quality Results

TSP and PM10 monitoring were conducted during August 2025. Targeted monitoring of potential dust-generating activities is conducted by the mobile air quality units and was completed at the Mayne Yard and Clapham Yard worksites during August 2025. No exceedances were recorded during this reporting period.




Figure 2: Air Quality Monitoring – Total Suspended Particles Data




Figure 3: Air Quality Monitoring - PM10 Data

2.4 Water Quality

Imposed Condition 15(b) requires that during construction, monitoring, and reporting on water quality in accordance with the Water Quality Management Plan, a sub-plan of the C-EMP, occurs.

Imposed Condition 15(a) requires that discharges of groundwater from Project Works within the Breakfast Creek catchment must comply with the Brisbane River Estuary environmental values and water quality objectives (Basin no.143 – mid-estuary) in the *Environment Protection (Water) Policy 2009*.

Imposed Condition 15(a) requires that discharges of groundwater from Project Works within Moolabin Creek, Yeerongpilly – Oxley Creek catchment must comply with the Oxley Creek - Lowland freshwater environmental values and water quality objectives (Basin no.143 (part) – including all tributaries of the Creek) in the *Environment Protection (Water) Policy 2009*.

Water quality monitoring was not triggered during the reporting period.

2.4.1 Water Quality – Post Rainfall Monitoring




Figure 4: Rainfall Data

2.4.2 Water Quality – Routine Monitoring (Bi-annual)

Requirements related to routine surface water quality monitoring and reporting were met with bi-annual water sampling completed on 23 January and 17 July 2025.

2.4.3 Groundwater Discharge Monitoring Results

Groundwater discharge monitoring was not triggered during the reporting period.

2.4.4 Surface Water Discharge Monitoring

Surface water discharge monitoring was not triggered during the reporting period.

3 Compliance review

3.1 Non-Compliance Events

The below section summarises the events to be reported in accordance with Imposed Condition 5 and Imposed Condition 6(b)(ii). A Non-Compliance event (NCE) is defined as Project works that do not comply with the Imposed Conditions. NIL non-compliances occurred during the reporting period.

Table 3: Summary of Non-Compliance Events

Event Title	Location, Date, and time of event	Date the Event was Formally Notified to CG/IEM	Conditions Affected	Date the Event Report Formally Sent to CG/IEM	Status of Event
NA	-	-	-	-	-

4 Complaints

Reporting of complaints is provided below in accordance with Imposed Condition 6(b)(iii).

During August 2025, two (2) complaints were received related to UNITY Project works, as detailed below.

Table 4: Summary of Complaints

No.	Date	Location	Description of Issue	Response	Status of Event
1	08/08/2025	Clapham Yard (Ipswich Road)	Dust Nuisance	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding dust nuisance from the worksite. UNITY reviewed the circumstances and dust mitigation measures were enhanced. The workforce was also reminded to remain vigilant on dust-generating activities and the implementation of effective controls.	Closed
2	20/08/2025	Salisbury Station (Olivia Avenue)	Workforce Behaviour and Parking	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding inappropriate workforce behaviour and parking. UNITY investigated the event and reminded the workforce of behavior and parking requirements. UNITY also provided the stakeholder with an overview of the measures implemented to mitigate inappropriate workforce parking.	Closed

Attachment 1 Monitoring Locations – Noise




Figure 5: Dutton Park Noise Monitoring Location



Figure 6: Salisbury Noise Monitoring Location




Figure 7: Yeronga Noise Monitoring Location



Figure 8: Fairfield Noise Monitoring Location




Figure 9: Clapham Yard Noise Monitoring Location

Attachment 2 Monitoring Locations - Vibration

Vibration monitoring was not triggered during the reporting period.

Attachment 3 Monitoring Locations - Air Quality




Figure 10: Mayne Yard and RNA AQ locations




Figure 11: Northern Portal AQ locations




Figure 12: Southern Area AQ locations




Figure 13: Yeerongpilly AQ locations




Figure 14: Clapham Yard AQ Locations




Figure 15: Salisbury AQ locations

Attachment 4 Monitoring Locations – Surface Water




Figure 16: Northern WQ Locations




Figure 17: Southern WQ Locations




Figure 18: Southern WQ Locations

Appendix B TSD Monthly Report

This document is the property of the Cross River Rail Delivery Authority. It may not be copied or reproduced without permission.



COORDINATOR-GENERAL'S MONTHLY REPORT: AUGUST 2025

Prepared in accordance with Coordinator-General Imposed Condition 6 - Reporting.

1. Monthly Monitoring Summary

It is CBGU Joint Venture's intent to aim for the Goals and Objectives relevant to vibration, noise, air quality and water monitoring within the practical extent of delivering the Project.

Vibration monitoring was not triggered or required during August 2025. Noise monitoring was performed on five (5) occasions in August 2025. Each monitoring event that was undertaken confirmed works adhered to Project requirements.

Ambient air quality monitoring was conducted at Roma Street, Albert Street, Woolloongabba, Boggo Road and Southern Portal precinct sites during August 2025. Air quality monitoring confirmed works adhered to Project requirements.

Water quality monitoring was conducted before the release of water from the site on twenty-eight (28) occasions. Each monitoring event confirmed that Project requirements were adhered to. One (1) round of surface water quality monitoring was conducted. The monitoring event confirmed that no impacts were generated by the Project.

2. Coordinator-General's Monthly Report – Compliance Assessment Against Imposed Conditions

Whilst not a requirement of Imposed Condition 6, CBGU offers the below Compliance Status Table as a goodwill gesture to demonstrate the Project's ongoing environmental performance.

Table 1: Compliance Status – CG Imposed Conditions

CG Condition	Requirement Summary	Compliance Met (Yes/No/NA)	Comment
1.	General Conditions – compliance with the Project Changes relevant to the Contractor's scope.	Yes	CBGU Project works have been conducted in compliance with the Imposed Conditions.
2.	Outline Environmental Management Plan – timely submission to the Coordinator-General, including required sub-plans.	N/A	The OEMP is not an obligation of the CBGU Joint Venture.
3.	Design – the achievement of the Environmental Design Requirements.	Yes	Design and implementation proceeded in accordance with the Environmental Design Requirements.
4.	Construction Environmental Management Plan – all relating to Relevant Project Works.	Yes	All CBGU works were conducted in accordance with the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) (Rev 12).
5.	Compliance and Incident Management – Non-compliance events, notifications, and reporting.	Yes	Nil non-compliances occurred during the monitoring period (refer to Section 4).
6.	Reporting – Monthly and Annual reporting.	Yes	All reporting requirements are completed in accordance with Imposed Condition 6.
7.	Environmental Monitor – engaged and functions resumed.	Yes	An Environmental Monitor (EM) is appointed to the Project, and CBGU is committed to working collaboratively to aid the EM's functions under Imposed Condition 7.
8.	Community Relations Monitor – engaged and functions resumed.	Yes	A Community Relations Monitor (CRM) is appointed to the Project, and CBGU is committed to working collaboratively to aid the CRM's functions under Imposed Condition 8.
9.	Community Engagement Plan – developed and endorsed by Environmental Monitor.	Yes	A Community Engagement Plan (CEP) has been developed and implemented in accordance with Imposed Condition 9. The CEMP has been endorsed with the CEP.
10.	Hours of Work – works undertaken during approved hours.	Yes	CBGU Project works have been conducted in accordance with the approved hours of work.

CG Condition	Requirement Summary	Compliance Met (Yes/No/NA)	Comment
11.	Noise – Work must aim to achieve internal noise goals for human health and well-being.	Yes	CBGU Project work has aimed to achieve internal noise goals for human health and well-being. Where internal noise levels have been unable to be measured, suitable noise reductions have been applied in accordance with Imposed Condition 11. Noise monitoring data is provided within Section 3.2.
	Vibration – Works must aim to achieve vibration goals for cosmetic damage, human comfort and sensitive building contents.	Yes	CBGU Project work has aimed to achieve vibration goals for cosmetic damage, human comfort and sensitive buildings. Vibration monitoring data is provided within Section 3.1.
12.	Property Damage relating to ground movement	Yes	The management of potential impacts relating to property damage has been completed in accordance with Imposed Condition 12.
13.	Air Quality – Works must aim to achieve air quality goals for human health and nuisance.	Yes	CBGU Project works have aimed to achieve air quality goals. Air quality monitoring data is provided within Section 3.
14.	Traffic and Transport – Works must minimise adverse impacts on road safety and traffic flow.	Yes	CBGU Project works have been conducted in a manner that has minimised adverse impacts on road safety and traffic flow.
15.	Water Quality – Works must not discharge surface water and groundwater from the construction site above the relevant environmental values and water quality objectives.	Yes	CBGU has prepared and managed processes to ensure water quality is managed in accordance with Imposed Condition 15.
16.	Water Resources – evaluate potential impact, plan works, implement controls and monitor the inflow of groundwater associated with drawdown.	Yes	CBGU Project works are managed in accordance with Imposed Condition 16.
17.	Surface Water – Must be designed to avoid inundation from stormwater due to a 2-year (6hr) ARI rainfall event and flood waters due to a 5-year ARI rainfall event and constructed to avoid afflux or cause the redirection of uncontrolled surface water flows, including stormwater flows, outside of worksites.	Yes	Design of the CBGU Project works considers the requirements of Imposed Condition 17.
18.	Erosion and Sediment Control – Provisions for erosion and sediment control must be consistent with the Guidelines for Best Practice Erosion and Sediment Control (International Erosion Control Association, 2008) and the Department of Transport and Main Roads' Technical	Yes	CBGU has prepared and managed processes to ensure erosion & sediment control is managed in accordance with Imposed Condition 18.

	Standard MRTS52.		
19.	Acid Sulfate Soils managed as per the <i>Queensland Acid Sulfate Soil Technical Manual</i> .	Yes	CBGU has prepared and managed processes to ensure acid sulfate soils are managed in accordance with Imposed Condition 19.
20.	Landscape and Open Space – general requirement to minimise impacts on landscapes and open space values and specific requirements around Victoria Park	Yes	CBGU Project works are designed and implemented in accordance with Condition 20.
21.	Worksite Rehabilitation – worksites rehabilitated as soon as practicable upon completion of works or commissioning, and in consultation with Brisbane City Council.	Yes	CBGU Project works are designed and implemented in accordance with Condition 21.
22.	Flood Water – Temporary emission to allow the release of Flood Waters to high flow receiving waters.	Yes	CBGU Project works have been conducted in accordance with the provisions available to manage floodwaters.

3. Environmental Monitoring Results

Monitoring data is provided below in accordance with Imposed Condition 6(b)(i).

3.1 Vibration

Vibration requirements (levels) are defined as goals within Imposed Condition 11. The goals are to be aimed for.

The Coordinator-General's Change Reports acknowledges instances where these goals may not be achieved.

Vibration monitoring was not triggered or required during August 2025.

Table 2: Vibration Monitoring Data

No.	Start Date	Time (AM/PM)	Finish Date	Location	Average Vibration level (mm/s)	Max Vibration Level (mm/s)	Vibration Goal (mm/s)	Receiver / Goal Type	Adhered to Project Requirements (Yes / No)
-----	------------	-----------------	-------------	----------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	--

N/A

3.2 Noise

Noise requirements (levels) are defined as goals within Imposed Condition 11. The goals are to be aimed for.

The Coordinator-General's Change Reports acknowledge instances where these goals may not be achieved. Noise monitoring was conducted on five (5) occasions during August 2025. Noise monitoring adhered to project requirements and is detailed in the table below

Table 3: Noise Monitoring Data

No.	Date	Time (AM / PM)	Location (Street Name) (Construction Precinct)	Purpose of Monitoring	Internal or External ^[3] Monitoring	Activity	Dominant Noise Source	Noise Goal LA10 ^[1]	Noise level LA10	Noise Goal LAeq ^[2]	Noise level LAeq	Adhered to Project Requirements (Yes / No)
1.	1/08/2025	10:35:00 PM	Mary Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	Internal	Concrete works	Construction	42	42.1	35	40	Yes
2.	1/08/2025	10:53:00 PM	Mary Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Concrete works	Construction	59	71.6	52	67.9	Yes
3.	1/08/2025	11:09:00 PM	Mary Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	Internal	Concrete works	Construction	42	41.9	35	39.1	Yes
4.	5/08/2025	8:01:00 PM	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	External	Demolition works	Construction	67	79.7	57	75.2	Yes
5.	5/08/2025	8:22:00 PM	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Construction Monitoring at Sensitive Places	Internal	Demolition works	Background noise	42	45.2	35	44	Yes

- [1] Intermittent noise goal (LA10)

- [2] Continuous noise goal (LAeq)

- [3] In accordance with Imposed Condition 11, where internal noise levels were unable to be measured, external noise goals were developed by an acoustic specialist using the following standards: ISO 140-5:1998 Acoustics – Measurement of Sound Insulation in Buildings and of Building Elements, Part 5: Field measurements of airborne sound insulation of façade elements and facades and ISO 354:1985 Acoustics – Measurement of sound absorption in a reverberation room.

3.3 Air Quality

3.3.1 Deposited Dust Results

Air quality requirements (levels) are defined as goals within Imposed Condition 13. The goals are to be aimed for. The Coordinator-General's Change Reports acknowledges instances when these goals may not be achieved. Dust deposition monitoring was performed in August 2025. The dust deposition gauge results for the reporting period are detailed below, and all monitoring data adhered to Project requirements.

Table 4: Air Quality Monitoring – August Deposited Dust Data

Location	Project Wide Air Quality Goals ^[1]			Monitoring results (mg/m ² /day)	Comments
	Criterion	Air Quality Indicator	Goal (mg/m ² /day)		
Roma Street Precinct	Nuisance	Deposited dust	120	12.50	Air quality monitoring was performed during the reporting period. All results adhered to Project requirements.
Albert Street Precinct (South)				109.38	
Albert Street Precinct (North)				15.63	
Woolloongabba Precinct (North)				51.61	
Woolloongabba Precinct (South)				48.39	
Boggo Road Precinct (North)				6.25	
Boggo Road Precinct (South)				25.00	
Southern Portal (East)				37.50	

- [1] Project works must aim to achieve construction air quality goals. The Coordinator-General Change Report – Whole of Project Refinements 2019 acknowledges that instances exist in which these goals may not be achieved.

3.3.2 Ambient Air Quality Results

Monitoring of Total Suspended Particles (TSP) and particulate matter less than 10µm (PM10) was conducted in August 2025.

TSP and PM10 are monitored using portable air quality units and nearby Government air quality stations. Targeted monitoring of potential dust-generating activities is conducted by the mobile air quality units and was completed at Woolloongabba, Albert Street and Boggo Road Precincts in August 2025. Three (3) Government air quality stations near the Construction Precincts are also utilised.

Table 5: Targeted Air Quality Monitoring – Total Suspended Particles and PM10 Data

Date	TSP	PM10	Woolloongabba		Albert Street		Boggo Road	
	Project Goal ^[1]	Project Goal ^[1]	TSP	PM 10	TSP	PM 10	TSP	PM 10
	(µg/m ³ /24 hr)							
01-August-25	80	50	9.56	9.44	3.95	3.95	7.36	7.30
02-August-25	80	50	4.73	4.60	- ^[2]	- ^[2]	4.31	4.27
03-August-25	80	50	4.70	4.63	2.51	2.51	4.45	4.40
04-August-25	80	50	10.48	10.37	1.68	1.68	7.46	7.38
05-August-25	80	50	13.36	13.20	7.64	7.63	10.77	10.70
06-August-25	80	50	14.23	14.09	6.52	6.52	13.44	13.35
07-August-25	80	50	13.08	12.93	5.24	5.24	13.38	13.25
08-August-25	80	50	11.52	11.47	6.48	6.48	11.36	11.28
09-August-25	80	50	11.03	10.92	3.05	3.04	6.67	6.63
10-August-25	80	50	5.25	5.21	2.50	2.49	4.26	4.22
11-August-25	80	50	6.21	6.15	2.85	2.83	5.31	5.26
12-August-25	80	50	11.52	11.45	1.80	1.79	8.36	8.31
13-August-25	80	50	10.37	10.30	7.22	7.21	10.95	10.89
14-August-25	80	50	11.38	11.32	7.78	7.78	12.77	12.72
15-August-25	80	50	8.01	7.95	5.49	5.47	7.78	7.72
16-August-25	80	50	21.11	20.93	14.81	14.80	17.03	16.94
17-August-25	80	50	10.09	9.97	6.88	6.87	10.81	10.73
18-August-25	80	50	9.52	9.41	6.32	6.32	9.11	8.97

Date	TSP	PM10	Woolloongabba		Albert		Boggo Road	
	Project Goal ^[1]	Project Goal	TSP	PM 10	TSP	PM 10	TSP	PM 10
	(µg/m³/24 hr)							
19-August-25	80	50	31.09	30.96	11.69	11.67	15.52	15.43
20-August-25	80	50	11.93	11.87	- ^[2]	- ^[2]	10.01	9.96
21-August-25	80	50	10.44	10.42	- ^[2]	- ^[2]	10.82	10.75
22-August-25	80	50	15.84	15.76	12.08	12.07	14.89	14.81
23-August-25	80	50	12.24	12.08	9.35	9.33	12.35	12.26
24-August-25	80	50	14.79	14.67	7.23	7.23	12.54	12.48
25-August-25	80	50	10.44	10.32	6.17	6.15	10.28	10.19
26-August-25	80	50	13.29	13.19	5.90	5.89	12.93	12.84
27-August-25	80	50	21.67	21.48	16.31	16.30	22.24	22.16
28-August-25	80	50	24.09	23.63	13.14	13.12	19.93	19.76
29-August-25	80	50	14.79	14.52	11.24	11.23	16.16	16.02
30-August-25	80	50	11.46	10.88	4.48	4.47	7.83	7.57
31-August-25	80	50	7.15	7.07	4.44	4.42	7.41	7.29

- [1] Project works must aim to achieve construction air quality goals. The Coordinator-General Change Report – Whole of Project Refinements 2019 acknowledges instances where these goals may not be achieved.
- [2] The Albert Street air quality unit experienced intermittent technical difficulties throughout August 2025. As soon as practicable, the unit was inspected, and the issue was resolved. A nearby (Brisbane CBD) DETSI Air Quality Station demonstrated compliant air quality during this outage period.

CBGU also utilise three (3) Government air quality monitoring stations to monitor PM10 near the Project sites. The results during this reporting period were as follows:

- Brisbane CBD: PM10 daily maximum average: **26.6 µg/m3/24 hr** (<https://apps.des.qld.gov.au/air-quality/chart/?station=cbd¶meter=18&date=1/08/2025&timeframe=month>)
- South Brisbane: PM10 daily maximum average: **31.7 µg/m3/24 hr** (<https://apps.des.qld.gov.au/air-quality/chart/?station=cbd¶meter=18&date=1/08/2025&timeframe=month>)
- Woolloongabba: PM10 daily maximum average: **27 µg/m3/24 hr** (<https://apps.des.qld.gov.au/air-quality/chart/?station=cbd¶meter=18&date=1/08/2025&timeframe=month>)

The graphical representation of the Government air quality data is presented in the charts below (refer to Figure 1-3).

Particle PM₁₀ at Brisbane CBD, 1–31 August 2025 [about Particle PM₁₀](#)

[Brisbane CBD station overview](#)

The guideline for Particle PM₁₀ is 100µg/m³ (1hr avg) and 50µg/m³ (24hr avg).




Figure 1: Brisbane CBD – DETSI Station - PM10 graph for August 2025 (reproduction from the DETSI website).

Particle PM₁₀ at South Brisbane, 1–31 August 2025 [about Particle PM₁₀](#)

[South Brisbane station overview](#)

The guideline for Particle PM₁₀ is 100µg/m³ (1hr avg) and 50µg/m³ (24hr avg).




Figure 2: South Brisbane – DETSI Station - PM10 graph for August 2025 (reproduction from the DETSI website).

Particle PM₁₀ at Woolloongabba, 1–31 August 2025 [about Particle PM₁₀](#)

[Woolloongabba station overview](#)

The guideline for Particle PM₁₀ is 100µg/m³ (1hr avg) and 50µg/m³ (24hr avg).




Figure 3: Woolloongabba – DETSI Station - PM10 graph for August 2025 (reproduction from the DETSI website).

3.4 Water Quality – Discharge

CBGU undertook twenty-eight (28) water quality monitoring events (groundwater and surface water) before the release from the site.

3.4.1 Groundwater Discharge

Water quality monitoring data is provided in the table below.

Table 6: Groundwater Discharge – Water Quality Monitoring Data

Location	Date	Testing of Water Quality Objectives ^[1]											Adhered to Project Requirements (Yes / No)
		pH	Suspended solids (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Ammonia N (µg/L) ^[3]	Oxidised N (µg/L) ^[3]	Organic N (µg/L) ^[3]	Total nitrogen (µg/L) ^[4]	Total phosphorus (µg/L)	Filterable Reactive phosphorus (µg/L) ^[3]	Chlorophyll a (µg/L)	Dissolved oxygen (%) ^[2]	
Roma Street	15/08/2025	7.64	<5	1.53	<10	770.00	400.00	1200.00	<10	<10	<1	97.18	Yes

- [1] The Project's discharge procedure minimises environmental impact and aims to achieve the water quality objectives. Water quality objectives are defined as goals within the Brisbane River estuary environmental values and water quality objectives document.
- [2] All results adhere to Project requirements in that site practices are designed to aim to achieve the water quality objectives. The dissolved oxygen samples were acquired before discharge from the site. Pumping of the water will have inadvertently aerated the water, thus influencing the dissolved oxygen level.
- [3] All results adhere to Project requirements in that site practices aim to achieve the water quality objectives. These samples identified results generally consistent with pre-construction conditions, and no external influences were introduced by construction activity.
- [4] Total nitrogen levels adhered to Project requirements in that site practices are designed to aim to achieve the water quality objectives. The results are mostly below that of the receiving environment. They are also considered abnormal compared to results from previous months and are influenced by external factors (e.g. high rainfall events, overloaded sewage systems, fertilising natural areas, etc.) rather than related to construction activities.

Note: Testing of EPP (Water) Quality Objectives is analysed monthly at a NATA-accredited laboratory (results provided above). Field testing (turbidity and pH) is conducted regularly during ongoing discharge.

3.4.2 Ponded/Surface Water Discharge

Discharged ponded/Surface water quality monitoring data is provided in the table below.

Table 7: Surface Water Discharge - Water Quality Monitoring Data

No.	Location	Date	Testing of Water Quality Objectives ^[1]		Adhered to Project Requirements (Yes / No)
			pH	Turbidity (NTU)	
1.	Northern Portal ^[2]	7/07/2025	8.19	4.11	Yes
2.	Northern Portal ^[2]	8/07/2025	8.22	4.09	Yes
3.	Northern Portal ^[2]	10/07/2025	8.07	4.09	Yes
4.	Northern Portal ^[2]	12/07/2025	8.14	4.30	Yes
5.	Northern Portal ^[2]	14/07/2025	8.09	4.12	Yes
6.	Northern Portal ^[2]	16/07/2025	8.12	4.09	Yes
7.	Northern Portal ^[2]	18/07/2025	8.12	4.07	Yes
8.	Northern Portal ^[2]	20/07/2025	8.03	4.12	Yes
9.	Northern Portal ^[2]	22/07/2025	8.13	4.12	Yes
10.	Northern Portal ^[2]	24/07/2025	8.07	4.09	Yes
11.	Northern Portal ^[2]	26/07/2025	8.14	4.11	Yes
12.	Northern Portal ^[2]	28/07/2025	8.12	4.03	Yes
13.	Northern Portal ^[2]	30/07/2025	8.13	4.11	Yes
14.	Northern Portal	1/08/2025	7.98	4.10	Yes
15.	Northern Portal	3/08/2025	8.01	4.13	Yes

16.	Northern Portal	5/08/2025	8.07	4.12	Yes
17.	Northern Portal	7/08/2025	8.09	4.12	Yes
18.	Northern Portal	9/08/2025	8.03	4.07	Yes
19.	Northern Portal	11/08/2024	8.48	4.73	Yes
20.	Northern Portal	14/08/2025	8.34	4.01	Yes
21.	Northern Portal	15/08/2025	8.24	4.12	Yes
22.	Northern Portal	18/08/2025	8.14	4.11	Yes
23.	Northern Portal	20/08/2025	8.13	4.16	Yes
24.	Northern Portal	24/08/2025	8.12	4.12	Yes
25.	Northern Portal	26/08/2025	8.09	4.11	Yes
26.	Northern Portal	28/08/2025	8.06	4.04	Yes
27.	Northern Portal	29/08/2025	8.09	4.07	Yes

- [1] The Project's discharge procedure is designed to minimise environmental impact and aims to achieve the water quality objectives. All discharges were compliant with Guidelines for Best Practice Erosion and Sediment Control (IECA, 2008) and the Department of Transport and Main Roads' Technical Standard MRTS 52 – Erosion and Sediment Control.
- [2] The Northern Portal data was not available at the time the July 2025 report was completed. As such, the results have been included in this month's report.

3.5 Water Quality – Surface Water

In August 2025, CBGU JV undertook one (1) round of surface water sampling at five (5) site locations (upstream and downstream).

The monitoring locations' results reflect the overall condition of the broader catchment, not just the project's influence. Water discharge from the project would not have impacted the catchment, considering the results also provided in section 3.4 above.

Table 8: Offsite Upstream & Downstream Water Quality Data

Location	Upstream / Downstream	Date	Purpose of Monitoring	Turbidity (NTU)	Dissolved oxygen (%)	pH
Roma Street	Upstream	15/08/2025	Monthly	11.85	95.27	7.63
Roma Street	Downstream	15/08/2025	Monthly	11.93	102.66	7.62
Albert Street	Upstream	15/08/2025	Monthly	12.61	102.52	7.4
Albert Street	Downstream	15/08/2025	Monthly	11.68	100.43	7.57
Northern Portal	Upstream	18/08/2025	Monthly	2.68	113.30	8.12
Northern Portal	Downstream	18/08/2025	Monthly	2.76	95.69	7.90
Woolloongabba	Upstream	18/08/2025	Monthly	15.24	116.72	8.12
Woolloongabba	Downstream	18/08/2025	Monthly	11.67	114.23	7.98
Boggo Road ^[1]	Upstream	18/08/2025	Monthly	6.84	92.50	7.81

- [1] Monitoring at the Boggo Road site occurs at a culvert outlet at the beginning of the surface catchment. There is no upstream or downstream monitoring point as such. The culvert outlet receives water released from the site and a broader stormwater catchment.

4. Non-Compliances

Details of non-compliances are provided in accordance with Imposed Condition 6(b)(ii).

A Non-Compliance Event is defined as Project works that do not comply with the Imposed Conditions. Nil non-compliances occurred during the monitoring period.

Table 9: Non-Compliance Events this Month

Event Title	Location, Date, and time of the event	Date the Event was Formally Notified to CG/IEM	Conditions Affected	Date the Event Report Formally Sent to CG/IEM	Status of Event
Nil					

5. Complaints

The reporting of complaints is provided below in accordance with Imposed Condition 6(b)(iii).

During August 2025, twenty-three (23) complaints were received by CBGU, twenty (20) were in relation to Project Works, as detailed in Table 10 below.

Table 10: Summary of Complaints

No.	Date	Location	Description of Issue	Responses	Status of Event
1.	01/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
2.	03/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
3.	04/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
4.	05/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
5.	05/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed

6.	05/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
7.	05/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Traffic management (Not related to project works)	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding traffic management near CBGU's Albert Street worksite. CBGU reviewed the circumstances and confirmed that the activities and traffic management were related to another contractor performing utilities works in the area.	Closed
8.	06/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Traffic management	A stakeholder contacted the Project with concerns regarding footpath changes near CBGU's Albert Street worksite. CBGU reviewed the circumstances and confirmed that the footpath changes were complaint.	Closed
9.	07/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise (Not related to project works)	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU reviewed the circumstances and confirmed that the activities were related to another contractor performing utilities works in the area.	Closed
10.	12/08/2025	Mary Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
11.	14/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Property Damage	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding personnel property damage because of the project. CBGU apologised for the inconvenience and investigated the cause.	Closed
12.	15/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed

13.	19/08/2025	Mary Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise/Worker Behaviour	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding music being played at the Albert Street worksite at night. CBGU thanked the stakeholder for reaching out and escalated the matter to the site superintendent.	Closed
14.	20/08/2025	Boggo Road (Boggo Road Precinct)	Traffic management	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding parking near CBGU's Boggo Road worksite. CBGU investigated the event and reminded the workforce of parking requirements.	Closed
15.	20/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite and construction impacts. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
16.	20/08/2025	Roma Street (Roma Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Roma Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
17.	23/08/2025	Herschel Street (Roma Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Roma Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
18.	27/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed

19.	27/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
20.	27/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
21.	28/08/2025	Albert Street (Albert Street Precinct)	Noise	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding noise generated near the Albert Street worksite. CBGU provided the stakeholder with an overview of the works occurring and their duration. CBGU reviewed the circumstances, and monitoring confirmed that the works adhered to the Project's noise requirements and were consistent with the community notification.	Closed
22.	28/08/2025	Roma Street (Roma Street Precinct)	Traffic management (Not related to project works)	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding parking near CBGU's Roma Street worksite. CBGU investigated the event and confirmed the vehicle did not belong to a worker on The Project.	Closed
23.	31/08/2025	Boggo Road (Boggo Road Precinct)	Traffic management/ Workforce behaviour	A stakeholder contacted the Project regarding parking near CBGU's Boggo Road worksite. CBGU investigated the event and reminded the workforce of parking and loading zone requirements, and appropriate workforce behaviour.	Closed